

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/882,029	Applicant(s) TOBIYA, AKIRA	
	Examiner Jennifer T Nguyen	Art Unit 2674	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jason W. Rhodes. (3) _____

(2) Jennifer Nguyen. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 8/31/2004

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 7.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,300,931 Someya.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Mr Rhodes explained the difference between the claim 1 and the prior art (Someya) (the input signal and said conversion signal virtually equal to each other). Examiner will update search for this limitation. Examiner also suggest to identify about 1st, 2nd, and 3rd characteristics in the claims 1 & 7.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Jennifer T Nguyen
Examiner's signature, if required